

**Department of Children and Families
Safety Assessment and Safety Conclusion**

Case Name: Taylor
Child(ren): Claire D.O.B.: 3-1-2013
Cara D.O.B.: 9-3-2021

Parent 1: Marsha Taylor

Parent 2: Adam Davis (not in home)

Date of Assessment: 11-02-2023

A. Safety Threats: Are negative family conditions and/or circumstances and/or caregiver behaviors, emotions, attitudes, and perceptions that are out of control in the presence of a vulnerable child and therefore likely to have severe effects on a child at any time in the near future

- Out of Control: refers to family behavior, conditions, or situations that are unrestrained, resulting in an unpredictable and possibly chaotic family environment not subject to influences, manipulation, or abilities that are within the family's control. Such out-of-control family conditions pose a danger.
- Severity: refers to the effects of maltreatment that have already occurred and/or the potential for harsh effects
- Imminent: refers to the belief that dangerous family behaviors, conditions, or situations will remain active or become active within the next several days to within a month. This is consistent with a degree of certainty or inevitability that danger and severe harm are possible or even likely outcomes without intervention.
- Observable: refers to family behaviors, conditions, or situations representing a danger to a child that are specific, definite, real, can be seen and understood, and are subject to being reported and justified
- Vulnerable Child: refers to a child who is dependent on others for protection; is exposed to circumstances that she or he is powerless to manage; and is susceptible, accessible, and available to a threatening person and/or persons in authority over them. Vulnerability is judged according to age, physical and emotional development, ability to communicate needs, mobility, size and dependence, and susceptibility. This definition also includes all young children 0–6 and older children who, for whatever reason, are not able to protect themselves or to seek help from protective others.

Safety Threats

1. No adult in the home is performing basic parenting duties and responsibilities that ensure child safety.

YES ☒ NO ☐

2. One or both parents/caregivers are violent and/or acting dangerously.

YES ☐ NO ☒

3. One or both parents/caregivers will not/cannot control their behavior.

YES ☐ NO ☒

4. One or both parents/caregivers perceive a child in extremely negative terms.

YES ☐ NO ☒

5. The family does not have or use resources necessary to ensure the child's safety.

YES ☒ NO ☐

6. One or both parents/caregivers are threatening to severely harm a child or are fearful they will maltreat a child and/or request placement.

YES ☐ NO ☒

7. One or both parents/caregivers intend(ed) to seriously hurt a child.

YES ☐ NO ☒

8. One or both parents/caregivers lack parenting knowledge, skills, or motivation necessary to ensure a child's safety.

YES ☒ NO ☐

9. A child has exceptional needs that affect his/her safety, which parents/caregivers are not meeting, cannot meet, or will not meet.

YES ☐ NO ☒

10. Living arrangements seriously endanger a child's physical health.

YES ☒ NO ☐

B. Safety Assessment:

Answer the following based on the safety threats identified, and proceed as instructed.

1. One or more safety threats are identified. YES ☒ NO ☐

2. If Yes to the above, proceed to C below.

3. If No to the above, proceed to E below.

C. Child Vulnerability

YES ☒ NO ☐

Explain how each child is vulnerable to the identified safety threats:

Given Cara's age, she requires a level of supervision that the parent in the home is not consistently providing, safety threat #1. Both children are vulnerable to safety threat #5 as there is an insufficient amount of food in the home. Mother has not followed through with the steps to secure an adequate amount through public assistance, and the father is not contributing to the household income. Both children are vulnerable to safety threat #10 as home presents some unsafe and unsanitary living conditions, although mother has taken steps to improve since initiation of safety assessment.

D. Protective Capacity Evaluation of Non-Maltreating Caregiver:

Indicate below whether or not the non-maltreating parent or other adults residing in the home can/will protect the child.

- ☐ Can and Will Protect (Provide justification below.)
☐ Cannot/Will Not Protect (Proceed to E.)
☒ N/A—no other caregiver in home or no non-maltreating caregiver

State the basis for your professional judgment if your conclusion is that a non-maltreating parent/caregiver can and will protect the child. Describe protective capacities and how the parent/caregiver has protected the child in the past.

E. Case Opening or Closing:

- ☒ The case will remain open.
☒ There is an unsafe child (safety threat, vulnerable child, and non-protective parents). If the case will remain open, complete F and G.
☐ The risk level is High.
☐ The case can be closed. There is no unsafe child, and the risk level is low or moderate. Indicate reasons and move to signature section:

F. Impending Danger Description:

Describe how impending danger is currently manifested in the family. Include how each threat is occurring, when and how often, under what circumstances, whether others are involved, whether all five safety threshold criteria apply, and the inability of the family to control the threat to child safety.

Mother's supervision of the children is inconsistent, at times placing them at risk. Her failure to follow through with obtaining level of public assistance required results in food insecurity. Mother's potential use of substances may factor into not demonstrating her protective capacities.

Father does not currently reside in the home and has minimal contact. Should father have contact with mother, safety threat #2 may emerge. Condition of the home environment should continue to be monitored as a potential, impending safety threat.

G. Analysis for In-Home Safety Plan:

Complete to determine if an in-home safety plan is appropriate.

1. Are the parents/caregivers residing in the home?

YES ☒ NO ☐

2. Is the home calm/consistent enough to allow for safety services to come into the home?

YES ☒ NO ☐

3. Are parents/caregivers willing to allow and/or participate with an in-home safety plan?

YES ☒ NO ☐

4. Are services/resources available to participate with an in-home safety plan?

YES ☒ NO ☐

If **NO** to any of the above, an in-home safety plan is not appropriate.

Signature and Approval

Ben Thomas
Worker

11-02-2023
Date

Barb White
Supervisor

11-02-2023
Date

Department of Children and Families
Safety Assessment and Safety Conclusion

Case Name: Taylor

Child(ren):	Claire	D.O.B.: 3-1-2013
	Cara	D.O.B.: 9-3-2021

Parent 1: Marsha Taylor

Parent 2: Adam Davis (not in home)

Date of Assessment: 1-09-2024

A. Safety Threats: Are negative family conditions and/or circumstances, and/or caregiver behaviors, emotions, attitudes, and perceptions that are out of control in the presence of a vulnerable child and therefore likely to have severe effects on a child at any time in the near future

- Out of Control: refers to family behavior, conditions, or situations that are unrestrained, resulting in an unpredictable and possibly chaotic family environment not subject to influences, manipulation, or abilities that are within the family's control. Such out-of-control family conditions pose a danger.
- Severity: refers to the effects of maltreatment that have already occurred and/or the potential for harsh effects
- Imminent: refers to the belief that dangerous family behaviors, conditions, or situations will remain active or become active within the next several days to within a month. This is consistent with a degree of certainty or inevitability that danger and severe harm are possible or even likely outcomes without intervention.
- Observable: refers to family behaviors, conditions, or situations representing a danger to a child that are specific, definite, real, can be seen and understood, and are subject to being reported and justified
- Vulnerable Child: refers to a child who is dependent on others for protection; is exposed to circumstances that she or he is powerless to manage; and is susceptible, accessible, and available to a threatening person and/or persons in authority over them. Vulnerability is judged according to age, physical and emotional development, ability to communicate needs, mobility, size and dependence, and susceptibility. This definition also includes all young children 0–6 and older children who, for whatever reason, are not able to protect themselves or to seek help from protective others.

Safety Threats

1. No adult in the home is performing basic parenting duties and responsibilities that ensure child safety.

YES ☐ NO ☒

2. One or both parents/caregivers are violent and/or acting dangerously.

YES ☐ NO ☒

3. One or both parents/caregivers will not/cannot control their behavior.

YES ☐ NO ☒

4. One or both parents/caregivers perceive a child in extremely negative terms.

YES ☐ NO ☒

5. The family does not have or use resources necessary to ensure the child's safety.

YES ☐ NO ☒

6. One or both parents/caregivers are threatening to severely harm a child or are fearful they will maltreat a child and/or request placement.

YES ☐ NO ☒

7. One or both parents/caregivers intend(ed) to seriously hurt a child.

YES ☐ NO ☒

8. One or both parents/caregivers lack parenting knowledge, skills, or motivation necessary to ensure a child's safety.

YES ☒ NO ☐

9. A child has exceptional needs that affect his/her safety, which parents/caregivers are not meeting, cannot meet, or will not meet.

YES ☐ NO ☒

10. Living arrangements seriously endanger a child's physical health.

YES ☐ NO ☒

B. Safety Assessment:

Answer the following based on the safety threats identified, and proceed as instructed.

1. One or more safety threats are identified. YES ☒ NO ☐

2. If Yes to the above, proceed to C below.

3. If No to the above, proceed to E below.

C. Child Vulnerability

YES ☒ NO ☐

Explain how each child is vulnerable to the identified safety threats:

With the assistance of the parent support specialist, the mother is acquiring the parenting knowledge and skills necessary to meet the children's needs, Safety Threat #8.

However, as evidenced by the home being in disarray recently and mother failing to show for UAs, mother may not have the motivation to apply the skills learned on a consistent basis. Given Cara's age and developmental delays, she is vulnerable to the threat as she relies heavily on her parent to provide for her safety needs.

D. Protective Capacity Evaluation of Non-Maltreating Caregiver:

Indicate below whether or not the non-maltreating parent or other adults residing in the home can/will protect the child.

- ☐ Can and Will Protect (Provide justification below.)
☐ Cannot/Will Not Protect (Proceed to E.)
☒ N/A—no other caregiver in home or no non-maltreating caregiver

State the basis for your professional judgment if your conclusion is that a non-maltreating parent/caregiver can and will protect the child. Describe protective capacities and how the parent/caregiver has protected the child in the past.

E. Case Opening or Closing:

- ☒ The case will remain open.
☒ There is an unsafe child (safety threat, vulnerable child, and non-protective parents). If the case will remain open, complete F and G.
☐ The risk level is High.
☐ The case can be closed. There is no unsafe child, and the risk level is Low or Moderate. Indicate reasons and move to signature section:

F. Impending Danger Description:

Describe how impending danger is currently manifested in the family. Include how each threat is occurring, when and how often, under what circumstances, whether others are involved, whether all five safety threshold criteria apply, and the inability of the family to control the threat to child safety.

Mother's supervision of the younger child is improving, but her parenting is inconsistent, at times placing the toddler at risk. Mother's potential substance misuse may factor into not demonstrating her protective capacities.

G. Analysis for In-Home Safety Plan:

Complete to determine if an in-home safety plan is appropriate.

1. Are the parents/caregivers residing in the home?

YES ☒ NO ☐

2. Is the home calm/consistent enough to allow for safety services to come into the home?

YES ☒ NO ☐

3. Are parents/caregivers willing to allow and/or participate with an in-home safety plan?

YES ☒ NO ☐

4. Are services/resources available to participate with an in-home safety plan?

YES ☒ NO ☐

If **NO** to any of the above, an in-home safety plan is not appropriate.

Signature and Approval

Kerry Díaz
Worker

1-09-2024
Date

Neil Campbell
Supervisor

1-09-2024
Date

Department of Children and Families
Safety Assessment and Safety Conclusion

Case Name: Taylor

Child(ren): Claire D.O.B.: 3-1-2013
Cara D.O.B.: 9-3-2021

Parent 1: Marsha Taylor

Parent 2: Adam Davis (not in home)

Date of Assessment: 5-20-2024

A. Safety Threats: Are negative family conditions and/or circumstances, and/or caregiver behaviors, emotions, attitudes, and perceptions that are out of control in the presence of a vulnerable child and therefore likely to have severe effects on a child at any time in the near future

- Out of Control: refers to family behavior, conditions, or situations that are unrestrained, resulting in an unpredictable and possibly chaotic family environment not subject to influences, manipulation, or abilities that are within the family's control. Such out-of-control family conditions pose a danger.
- Severity: refers to the effects of maltreatment that have already occurred and/or the potential for harsh effects
- Imminent: refers to the belief that dangerous family behaviors, conditions, or situations will remain active or become active within the next several days to within a month. This is consistent with a degree of certainty or inevitability that danger and severe harm are possible or even likely outcomes without intervention.
- Observable: refers to family behaviors, conditions, or situations representing a danger to a child that are specific, definite, real, can be seen and understood, and are subject to being reported and justified
- Vulnerable Child: refers to a child who is dependent on others for protection; is exposed to circumstances that she or he is powerless to manage; and is susceptible, accessible, and available to a threatening person and/or persons in authority over them. Vulnerability is judged according to age, physical and emotional development, ability to communicate needs, mobility, size and dependence, and susceptibility. This definition also includes all young children 0–6 and older children who, for whatever reason, are not able to protect themselves or to seek help from protective others.

Safety Threats

1. No adult in the home is performing basic parenting duties and responsibilities that ensure child safety.

YES ☐ NO ☒

2. One or both parents/caregivers are violent and/or acting dangerously.

YES ☐ NO ☒

3. One or both parents/caregivers will not/cannot control their behavior.

YES ☐ NO ☒

4. One or both parents/caregivers perceive a child in extremely negative terms.

YES ☐ NO ☒

5. The family does not have or use resources necessary to ensure the child's safety.

YES ☐ NO ☒

6. One or both parents/caregivers are threatening to severely harm a child or are fearful they will maltreat a child and/or request placement.

YES ☐ NO ☒

7. One or both parents/caregivers intend(ed) to seriously hurt a child.

YES ☐ NO ☒

8. One or both parents/caregivers lack parenting knowledge, skills, or motivation necessary to ensure a child's safety.

YES ☐ NO ☒

9. A child has exceptional needs that affect his/her safety, which parents/caregivers are not meeting, cannot meet, or will not meet.

YES ☐ NO ☒

10. Living arrangements seriously endanger a child's physical health.

YES ☐ NO ☒

B. Safety Assessment:

Answer the following based on the safety threats identified, and proceed as instructed.

1. One or more safety threats are identified. YES ☐ NO ☒

2. If Yes to the above, proceed to C below.

3. If No to the above, proceed to E below.

C. Child Vulnerability

YES ☐ NO ☐

Explain how each child is vulnerable to the identified safety threats:

D. Protective Capacity Evaluation of Non-Maltreating Caregiver:

Indicate below whether or not the non-maltreating parent or other adults residing in the home can/will protect the child.

- ☐ Can and Will Protect (Provide justification below.)
- ☐ Cannot/Will Not Protect (Proceed to E.)
- ☐ N/A—no other caregiver in home or no non-maltreating caregiver

State the basis for your professional judgment if your conclusion is that a non-maltreating parent/caregiver can and will protect the child. Describe protective capacities and how the parent/caregiver has protected the child in the past.

E. Case Opening or Closing:

- ☐ The case will remain open.
- ☐ There is an unsafe child (safety threat, vulnerable child, and non-protective parents). If the case will remain open, complete F and G.
- ☐ The risk level is High.
- ☒ The case can be closed. There is no unsafe child, and the risk level is Low or Moderate. Indicate reasons and move to signature section:

There were no safety threats identified. Risk level is Low.

F. Impending Danger Description:

Describe how impending danger is currently manifested in the family. Include how each threat is occurring, when and how often, under what circumstances, whether others are involved, whether all five safety threshold criteria apply, and the inability of the family to control the threat to child safety.

G. Analysis for In-Home Safety Plan:

Complete to determine if an in-home safety plan is appropriate.

1. Are the parents/caregivers residing in the home?

YES ☐ NO ☐

2. Is the home calm/consistent enough to allow for safety services to come into the home?

YES ☐ NO ☐

3. Are parents/caregivers willing to allow and/or participate with an in-home safety plan?

YES ☐ NO ☐

4. Are services/resources available to participate with an in-home safety plan?

YES ☐ NO ☐

If **NO** to any of the above, an in-home safety plan is not appropriate.

Signature and Approval

Kerry Díaz
Worker

5-20-2024
Date

Neil Campbell
Supervisor

5-21-2024
Date

**Department of Children and Families
Risk Assessment**

Case Name: Taylor

Child(ren): Claire D.O.B.: 03-01-2013
Cara D.O.B.: 09-03-2021

Parent 1: Marsha Taylor

Parent 2: Adam Davis (not in home)

Date of Assessment: November 2, 2023

Neglect Scale

N1. Current report is for neglect.

- ☐ No (0)
☒ Yes (1)

N2. Prior assessments (Assign highest score that applies.)

- ☒ None (-1)
☐ One or more, abuse only (1)
☐ One or two for neglect (2)
☐ Three or more for neglect (3)

N3. Household has previously received child protective services.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

N4. Number of children involved in the child abuse/neglect incident

- ☒ One, two, or three (0)
☐ Four or more (1)

N5. Age of youngest child in the home

- ☒ Two or older (0)
☐ Under two (1)

N6. Characteristics of children in household (Add for score.)

- ☐ Not applicable (0)
☒ One or more present (Mark all applicable and add.)
 ☒ Developmental, learning, or physical disability (1)
 ☐ Medically fragile or failure to thrive (1)
 ☐ Mental health or behavioral problem (1)

N7. Primary caregiver physical care of the child

- ☐ Consistent with child needs (0)
☒ Inconsistent with child needs (1)

N8. Primary caregiver has a history of abuse/neglect as a child.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

N9. Primary caregiver has/had a mental health problem.

- ☒ None/not applicable (0)
☐ Current or prior mental health problem (1)

N10. Primary caregiver has/had an alcohol and/or drug problem.

- ☐ None/not applicable (0)
☒ One or more apply (Mark all applicable.) (2)
 ☐ Alcohol
 ☐ Drugs
 ☐ Marijuana
 ☐ Methamphetamine
 ☐ Heroin
 ☐ Cocaine
 ☒ Other: Suspected but unknown drug of choice

N11. Primary caregiver has criminal arrest history.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)
If yes, check either or both:
☐ Arrests ☐ Conviction

N12. Current housing

- ☒ Not applicable (0)
☐ One or more apply (1)
 ☐ Physically unsafe AND/OR
 ☐ Family homeless

TOTAL NEGLECT SCALE RISK SCORE = 4.

Abuse Scale

A1. Current report is for physical abuse.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A2. Prior assessments (Assign highest score that applies.)

- ☒ None (-1)
☐ One or more, abuse only (1)
☐ One or two for neglect (2)
☐ Three or more for neglect (3)

A3. Household has previously received child protective services.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A4. Number of children involved in the child abuse/neglect incident

- ☒ One, two, or three (0)
☐ Four or more (1)

A5. Prior physical injury to a child resulting from child abuse/neglect or prior substantiated physical abuse to a child

- ☒ None/not applicable (0)
☐ One or more of the following apply (1)
 ☐ Prior physical injury to a child resulting from child abuse/neglect
 ☐ Prior substantiated physical abuse of a child

A6. Characteristics of children in household (Score 1 if any present.)

- ☐ Not applicable (0)
☒ One or more present (Mark all applicable.) (1)
 ☐ Delinquency history
 ☒ Developmental disability
 ☐ Learning disability
 ☐ Mental health or behavioral problem

A7. Domestic violence in the household in the past year

- ☐ No (0)
☒ Yes (1)

A8. Primary caregiver employs excessive/inappropriate discipline.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A9. Primary caregiver has a history of abuse/neglect as a child.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A11. Primary caregiver has/had a mental health problem.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ One or more apply (1)
 ☐ During the last 12 months
 ☐ Prior to the last 12 months

TOTAL ABUSE SCALE SCORE: 2.

SCORED RISK LEVEL. Assign the family's scored risk level based on the highest score on either the neglect or abuse indices, using the following chart:

Neglect Score

- ☐ -1-1
☒ 2-5
☐ 6-8
☐ 9 +

Abuse Score

- ☐ -1-0
☒ 1-3
☐ 4-5
☐ 6 +

Scored Risk Level

- ☐ Low
☒ Moderate
☐ High
☐ Very High

POLICY OVERRIDES. Mark yes if a condition shown below is applicable. If any condition is applicable, override the final risk level to Very High.

Sexual abuse AND the perpetrator is likely to have access to the child/victim.

☐ Non-accidental injury to a child under age 2

☐ Severe, non-accidental injury

☐ Caregiver action or inaction resulted in the death of a child due to abuse/neglect (previous or current).

DISCRETIONARY OVERRIDE: If yes, override risk level (Mark one.):

☐ Moderate

☐ High

☐ Very High

Discretionary override reason:

FINAL RISK LEVEL (Mark final level assigned.):

☐ Low

☒ Moderate

☐ High

☐ Very High

Signature and Approval

Ben Thomas
Worker

11-02-2023
Date

Barb White
Supervisor

11-02-2023
Date

**Department of Children and Families
Risk Assessment**

Case Name: Taylor

Child(ren): Claire D.O.B.: 03-01-2013
Cara D.O.B.: 09-03-2021

Parent 1: Marsha Taylor

Parent 2: Adam Davis (not in home)

Date of Assessment: 01-09-2024

Neglect Scale

N1. Current report is for neglect.

- ☐ No (0)
☒ Yes (1)

N2. Prior assessments (Assign highest score that applies.)

- ☒ None (-1)
☐ One or more, abuse only (1)
☐ One or two for neglect (2)
☐ Three or more for neglect (3)

N3. Household has previously received child protective services.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

N4. Number of children involved in the child abuse/neglect incident

- ☒ One, two, or three (0)
☐ Four or more (1)

N5. Age of youngest child in the home

- ☒ Two or older (0)
☐ Under two (1)

N6. Characteristics of children in household (Add for score.)

- ☐ Not applicable (0)
☒ One or more present (Mark all applicable and add.)
 ☒ Developmental, learning, or physical disability (1)
 ☐ Medically fragile or failure to thrive (1)
 ☐ Mental health or behavioral problem (1)

N7. Primary caregiver physical care of the child

- ☐ Consistent with child needs (0)
☒ Inconsistent with child needs (1)

N8. Primary caregiver has a history of abuse/neglect as a child.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

N9. Primary caregiver has/had a mental health problem.

- ☒ None/not applicable (0)
☐ Current or prior mental health problem (1)

N10. Primary caregiver has/had an alcohol and/or drug problem.

- ☐ None/not applicable (0)
☒ One or more apply (Mark all applicable.) (2)
 ☐ Alcohol
 ☐ Drugs
 ☐ Marijuana
 ☐ Methamphetamine
 ☐ Heroin
 ☐ Cocaine
 ☒ Other: unclear; mother no-show for UAs

N11. Primary caregiver has criminal arrest history.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)
If yes, check either or both:
☐ Arrests ☐ Conviction

N12. Current housing

- ☒ Not applicable (0)
☐ One or more apply (1)
 ☐ Physically unsafe AND/OR
 ☐ Family homeless

TOTAL NEGLECT SCALE RISK SCORE = 4.

Abuse Scale

A1. Current report is for physical abuse.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A2. Prior assessments (Assign highest score that applies.)

- ☒ None (-1)
☐ One or more, abuse only (1)
☐ One or two for neglect (2)
☐ Three or more for neglect (3)

A3. Household has previously received child protective services.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A4. Number of children involved in the child abuse/neglect incident

- ☒ One, two, or three (0)
☐ Four or more (1)

A5. Prior physical injury to a child resulting from child abuse/neglect or prior substantiated physical abuse to a child

- ☒ None/not applicable (0)
☐ One or more of the following apply (1)
 ☐ Prior physical injury to a child resulting from child abuse/neglect
 ☐ Prior substantiated physical abuse of a child

A6. Characteristics of children in household (Score 1 if any present).

- ☐ Not applicable (0)
☒ One or more present (Mark all applicable.) (1)
 ☐ Delinquency history
 ☒ Developmental disability
 ☐ Learning disability
 ☐ Mental health or behavioral problem

A7. Domestic violence in the household in the past year

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A8. Primary caregiver employs excessive/inappropriate discipline.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A9. Primary caregiver has a history of abuse/neglect as a child.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A11. Primary caregiver has/had a mental health problem.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ One or more apply (1)
 ☐ During the last 12 months
 ☐ Prior to the last 12 months

TOTAL ABUSE SCALE SCORE: 1.

SCORED RISK LEVEL. Assign the family's scored risk level based on the highest score on either the neglect or abuse indices, using the following chart:

Neglect Score

- ☐ -1-1
☒ 2-5
☐ 6-8
☐ 9 +

Abuse Score

- ☐ -1-0
☒ 1-3
☐ 4-5
☐ 6 +

Scored Risk Level

- ☐ Low
☒ Moderate
☐ High
☐ Very High

POLICY OVERRIDES. Mark yes if a condition shown below is applicable. If any condition is applicable, override the final risk level to Very High.

Sexual abuse AND the perpetrator is likely to have access to the child/victim.

☐ Non-accidental injury to a child under age 2

☐ Severe, non-accidental injury

☐ Caregiver action or inaction resulted in the death of a child due to abuse/neglect (previous or current).

DISCRETIONARY OVERRIDE: If yes, override risk level (Mark one.):

☐ Moderate

☐ High

☐ Very High

Discretionary override reason:

FINAL RISK LEVEL (mark final level assigned):

☐ Low

☒ Moderate

☐ High

☐ Very High

Signature and Approval

Kerry Díaz
Worker

1-09-2024
Date

Neil Campbell
Supervisor

1-09-2024
Date

**Department of Children and Families
Risk Assessment**

Case Name: Taylor

Child(ren): Claire D.O.B.: 03-01-2013
Cara D.O.B.: 09-03-2021

Parent 1: Marsha Taylor

Parent 2: Adam Davis (not in home)

Date of Assessment: May 20, 2024

Neglect Scale

N1. Current report is for neglect.

- ☐ No (0)
☒ Yes (1)

N2. Prior assessments (Assign highest score that applies.)

- ☒ None (-1)
☐ One or more, abuse only (1)
☐ One or two for neglect (2)
☐ Three or more for neglect (3)

N3. Household has previously received child protective services.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

N4. Number of children involved in the child abuse/neglect incident

- ☒ One, two, or three (0)
☐ Four or more (1)

N5. Age of youngest child in the home

- ☒ Two or older (0)
☐ Under two (1)

N6. Characteristics of children in household (Add for score.)

- ☐ Not applicable (0)
☒ One or more present (Mark all applicable and add.)
 ☒ Developmental, learning, or physical disability (1)
 ☐ Medically fragile or failure to thrive (1)
 ☐ Mental health or behavioral problem (1)

N7. Primary caregiver physical care of the child

- ☒ Consistent with child needs (0)
☐ Inconsistent with child needs (1)

N8. Primary caregiver has a history of abuse/neglect as a child.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

N9. Primary caregiver has/had a mental health problem.

- ☒ None/not applicable (0)
☐ Current or prior mental health problem (1)

N10. Primary caregiver has/had an alcohol and/or drug problem.

- ☒ None/not applicable (0)
☐ One or more apply (Mark all applicable.) (2)
 ☐ Alcohol
 ☐ Drugs
 ☐ Marijuana
 ☐ Methamphetamine
 ☐ Heroin
 ☐ Cocaine
 ☐ Other: Suspected

N11. Primary caregiver has criminal arrest history.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)
If yes, check either or both:
☐ Arrests ☐ Conviction

N12. Current housing

- ☒ Not applicable (0)
☐ One or more apply (1)
 ☐ Physically unsafe AND/OR
 ☐ Family homeless

TOTAL NEGLECT SCALE RISK SCORE = 1 .

Abuse Scale

A1. Current report is for physical abuse.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A2. Prior assessments (Assign highest score that applies.)

- ☒ None (-1)
☐ One or more, abuse only (1)
☐ One or two for neglect (2)
☐ Three or more for neglect (3)

A3. Household has previously received child protective services.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A4. Number of children involved in the child abuse/neglect incident

- ☒ One, two, or three (0)
☐ Four or more (1)

A5. Prior physical injury to a child resulting from child abuse/neglect or prior substantiated physical abuse to a child

- ☒ None/not applicable (0)
☐ One or more of the following apply (1)
 ☐ Prior physical injury to a child resulting from child abuse/neglect
 ☐ Prior substantiated physical abuse of a child

A6. Characteristics of children in household (Score 1 if any present.)

- ☐ Not applicable (0)
☒ One or more present (Mark all applicable.) (1)
 ☐ Delinquency history
 ☒ Developmental disability
 ☐ Learning disability
 ☐ Mental health or behavioral problem

A7. Domestic violence in the household in the past year

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A8. Primary caregiver employs excessive/inappropriate discipline.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A9. Primary caregiver has a history of abuse/neglect as a child.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ Yes (1)

A11. Primary caregiver has/had a mental health problem.

- ☒ No (0)
☐ One or more apply (1)
 ☐ During the last 12 months
 ☐ Prior to the last 12 months

TOTAL ABUSE SCALE SCORE: 0

SCORED RISK LEVEL. Assign the family's scored risk level based on the highest score on either the neglect or abuse indices, using the following chart:

Neglect Score

- ☒ -1-1
☐ 2-5
☐ 6-8
☐ 9 +

Abuse Score

- ☒ -1-0
☐ 1-3
☐ 4-5
☐ 6 +

Scored Risk Level

- ☒ Low
☐ Moderate
☐ High
☐ Very High

POLICY OVERRIDES. Mark yes if a condition shown below is applicable. If any condition is applicable, override the final risk level to Very High.

Sexual abuse AND the perpetrator is likely to have access to the child/victim.

☐ Non-accidental injury to a child under age 2.

☐ Severe, non-accidental injury.

☐ Caregiver action or inaction resulted in the death of a child due to abuse/neglect (previous or current).

DISCRETIONARY OVERRIDE: If yes, override risk level (Mark one.):

☐ Moderate

☐ High

☐ Very High

Discretionary override reason:

FINAL RISK LEVEL (Mark final level assigned.):

☒ Low

☐ Moderate

☐ High

☐ Very High

Signature and Approval

Kerry Diaz
Worker

5-20-2024
Date

Neil Campbell
Supervisor

5-21-2024
Date